

REMARKS

In the Office Action under reply, the Examiner rejected claim 2 under 35 USC 112. Claim 2 is canceled, thereby rendering this rejection moot.

Claims 1-7, all the claims in this application, have been rejected under 35 USC 103 in view of U.S. Patent 5,164,839 (Lang) in combination with Published U.S. Application 2005/0185927 (Kudou).

MPEP § 706.02(k) states that

“[e]ffective November 29, 1999, subject matter which was prior art under former 35 U.S.C. § 103 via 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is now disqualified as prior art against the claimed invention if that subject matter and the claimed invention ‘were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.’ This change to 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) applies to all utility design, and plant applications filed on or after November 29, 1999...”


At the time the present invention was made, the Kudou published application was subject to an obligation of Assignment, and in fact was assigned, to Sony Corporation. Similarly, the instant application was under an obligation to, and has in fact been assigned to Sony Corporation as evidenced by the recordation of such assignment with the USPTO on July 15, 2003 at Reel 014292, Frame 0131. The Kudou reference meets the criteria to be considered a reference under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and has been assigned to Sony Corporation, the same assignee as the instant application. Consequently, the Kudou reference cannot properly be considered a reference under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) expressly disqualifies such a reference from “precluding patentability.” Accordingly, without Kudou, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-7 be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that this application now is in condition for allowance. Early notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER, LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicant

By:



William S. Frommer
Reg. No. 25,506
(212) 588-0800